

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/645,949

Applicant(s)

HUMLICEK, DONALD R.

Examiner

Denise Tran

Art Unit

2186

All Participants:

(1) Denise Tran.

(2) Christopher Maiorana (Reg. No. 42,829).

Status of Application: amendment after final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 August 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

claims 1, 12-15, and 23-24

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

the applicant's representative, Mr. Maiorana agreed to amend the claims as recited in the examiner's amendment to clarify the claimed language.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Denise Tran

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)